TN
Buchanan
Lehrer

Green Bay

November 6, 1975 4410-2

TO: John Reinhardt

FROM: Gary F. Kulibert

SUBJECT: Lehrer Landfill Site

On October 30, 1975, I inspected the James Lehrer landfill site to see if it met all the conditions of the Memorandum of Understanding issued by the Attorney General's office. During an inspection a week prior to this inspection, two violations were noted. These have been corrected. The operation is now covering all municipal refuse after every day of operation. The second area of concern was the demolition site by the old incinerator. This area also has been completely covered as required. Therefore, as of October 30, 1975, Mr. Lehrer has complied with all the conditions of the Memorandum of Understanding. Would you please notify the Attorney General's office of this?

GFK

GFK:jp

cc: Division of Enforcement

NOTED:

____________________ __________
Date

00725

+ N Buchman

Lake Michigan District Headquarters
Environmental Protection
P.O. Box 3600
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54303

October 29, 1975

4410-2

Mr. James Lehrer
Lehrer Sanitary Service
1604 Crooks Avenue
Kaukauna, Wisconsin 54130

Dear Mr. Lehrer:

On October 23, 1975, I inspected your landfill site. The inspection was to document compliance with the Attorney General's Memorandum of Understanding of August 26, 1975. At the time of the inspection the following violations were noted:

1. Municipal refuse was not being covered with six inches of earth material after every day of operation. Point three of the stipulation allowed you to place paper mill sludge in your trenches, which was then covered with a layer of municipal refuse, which was to be covered on a daily basis. However, the municipal refuse was being covered with bark and not the six inches of compacted earth, as required. Therefore it is imperative that you begin covering your municipal refuse after every day of operation.
2. It appeared that the demolition material was not being covered on a bi-monthly basis. I also noted during the inspection that demolition material was burning. A representative of your firm indicated that the fire was accidental. However, I am concerned about the attempt to extinguish this fire. Should a fire occur in any of your disposal areas at the site, including demolition material, the fire must be extinguished as soon as possible. If necessary, the fire department should be summoned to assist you.
3. This stipulation required the removal of all salvageable materials around the incinerator and the remaining waste covered. This has yet to be accomplished. Some of the salvageable material still remains and the incinerator ash and other waste remains uncovered.

Our Department will be making several inspections in the near future to see if these violations have been corrected. I will be notifying the Attorney General's office of this inspection and what was found.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me at our Green Bay office, 414-494-9601.

Very truly yours,

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Environmental Protection

00724

Gary F. Kulibert
Solid Waste Management Coordinator

cc: Chuck LeVeque
Div. of Enforcement
Solid Waste Management Section

Linda Clifford-Att'y General's Offic
File

To Buchanan

Green Bay

4410-2

October 28, 1975

TO: Chuck LeVeque

FROM: Gary F. Kulibert

SUBJECT: James Lehrer

On October 23, 1975, I inspected the James Lehrer landfill site, to see if the operation has conformed to the Memorandum of Understanding between the State of Wisconsin and Mr. Lehrer, signed August 26, 1975. At the time of the inspection, the following items were noted:

1. The defendent has covered the area of paper mill sludge and shredded waste near the incinerator with two feet of earth material.
2. The defendent has covered all other exposed solid waste areas with two feet of earth material.
3. The defendent had been required to sandwich the sludge and municipal refuse together and cover the municipal refuse on a daily basis. This was not being accomplished. The sludge is being laid down with municipal refuse on top; however, earth was not being placed on top of the garbage. Bark waste was being used instead, with a small portion of dirt.
4. The defendent is maintaining the erosion along Kankapot Creek.
5. The defendent has moved most of the salvageable material from the incinerator area. However, this area has not been abandoned. Metal, incinerator ash, etc., is still exposed.
6. It does not appear that the defendent is covering up the demolition waste on a bi-monthly basis. It should be noted that, at the time of the inspection, a fire was burning in the demolition site area. It was explained that this was an accidental fire; however, it did not appear that the defendent was in a hurry to put the fire out.

This was a random inspection and a camera was not available at the time to document the violations noted above. I will be inspecting this site again in the very near future to see if these violations are again present. Would you please see that Ms. Linda Clifford receives a copy of this memo.

GFK:jp

00723

NOTED: